

REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-28 have been examined, claims 1-4, 9, 12-17, 19-24 and 26-28 are rejected, and claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25 are objected to. In the above amendments, claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 27 have been amended. Therefore, after entry of the above amendments, claims 1-28 will be pending in this application. Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which prompt and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Objected to Claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25

Claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 6, 10 and 11 have each been amended to include all of the limitations of original base claim 1 and any intervening claim. Claims 7 and 8 are dependent on claim 6. Claim 18 has been amended to include all of the limitations of original base claim 14. Claim 25 has been amended to include all of the limitations of original base claim 23.

Applicant submits that claims 5-8, 10, 11, 18 and 25 are now allowable.

Rejection of Claims 1-4, 9, 12-14, 16, 17 and 19-22 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(c)

Claims 1-4, 9, 12-14, 16, 17 and 19-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(c) as being anticipated by Babbar *et al* (U.S. 2004/0116140).

Babbar discloses a multimode mobile station that can dynamically provision network configuration for TE2-type mobile terminals (see the Abstract). In FIG. 2, a mobile station 202 includes managed devices 210 and 216 and a mobile station manager (MSM) 208. "MSM 208 use device-related interface modules (DRIFs) 214, 220 to adapt to an ISDN-compatible format, signal 212 from managed device 210, and signal 218 from managed device 216" (see paragraph 0074). DRIF modules #1 214 and DRIF modules #2 220 can be representative of R_m interfaces (see paragraph 75), which are interfaces between mobile terminal 106 and wireless communication device 108 (see Fig. 1). A mobile configuration manager (MCM) 222 can request server 230 to allocate a second unique network configuration, which is allocated for managed device #1 210. MCM 222 uses the second unique network configuration to configure and manage managed device #1 210 (see

paragraph 0078). MCM 222 can also configure and supervise managed device #2 216 as a second connected host with a unique identity (see paragraph 0079).

Claim 1 of the present application, as amended, recites:

“A wireless device comprising:
a first module operable to process a first broadcast message for a first wireless communication system in accordance with parameters of the first broadcast message;
a second module operable to process a second broadcast message for a second wireless communication system in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message, the first and second broadcast messages having different formats;
and
a third module operable to map the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services.”

Applicant submits that claim 1 is not anticipated by Babbar for at least the following reasons.

First, Babbar does not disclose “a first module operable to process a first broadcast message for a first wireless communication system,” as recited in claim 1. The rejection indicates that DRIF 214 reads on the first module of claim 1. However, DRIF 214 merely allows MCM 222 to communicate with managed device 212 via the R_m interface (see paragraph 0075). DRIF 214 does not process a first broadcast message for a first communication system, as recited in claim 1.

Second, Babbar does not disclose “a second module operable to process a second broadcast message for a second wireless communication system in accordance with parameters of the second broadcast message,” as recited in claim 1. The rejection indicates that DRIF 220 reads on the second module of claim 1. However, DRIF 220 merely allows MCM 222 to communicate with managed device 216 via the R_m interface (see paragraph 0075). DRIF 220 does not process a second broadcast message for a second communication system, as recited in claim 1.

Third, Babbar does not disclose “the first and second broadcast messages having different formats,” as recited in claim 1. Babbar does not describe processing broadcast

messages. Hence, Babbar does not describe the first and second broadcast messages for the first and second communication systems having different formats.

Fourth, Babbar does not disclose “a third module operable to map the parameters of the first and second broadcast messages to corresponding parameters in a set of parameters defined for broadcast services,” as recited in claim 1. In Babbar, MCM 222 receives unique network configuration from server 230 and configures and manages managed device 210 with this configuration (see paragraph 0078). MCM 222 can also receive another unique network configuration from server 230 and can configure and supervise managed device 216 with this configuration (see paragraph 0079). The two unique network configurations are used for two different managed devices 210 and 216 and are not mapped to a set of parameters defined for broadcast services, as recited in claim 1.

For at least the above reasons, Applicant submits that claim 1 is not anticipated by Babbar. Claims 1-4, 9, 12 and 13 are dependent on claim 1 and are not anticipated by Babbar for at least the reasons noted for base claim 1.

Independent claims 14, 19 and 22 have each been amended to recite the features noted above for claim 1. Claims 15 and 17 are dependent on claim 14, and claims 20 and 21 are dependent on claim 19. Claims 14, 16, 17 and 19-22 are not anticipated by Babbar for at least the reasons noted for claim 1.

Accordingly, the §102(e) rejection of claims 1-4, 9, 12-14, 16, 17 and 19-22 should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 23, 24 and 26-28 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 23, 24 and 26-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Burgan *et al* (U.S. Patent No. 6,675,022).

Claim 23 of the present application, as amended, recites:

“A method of receiving broadcast services in a wireless communication system, comprising:

defining a first set of at least one broadcast service supported by the system;
associating the first set with a first active time period indicative of when broadcast messages for the at least one broadcast service in the first set are to be received, the first active time period being user selectable; and

receiving broadcast messages for the at least one broadcast service in the first set during the first active time period.”

Applicant submits that claim 23 is not anticipated by Burgan for at least the following reason. Burgan does not disclose “associating the first set with a first active time period indicative of when broadcast messages for the at least one broadcast service in the first set are to be received, the first active time period being user selectable,” as recited in claim 23. In Burgan, a broadcast transmission site transmits localized information during time intervals allocated for transmission of localized information in the coverage area served by this site (see block 1103 in FIG. 11). The broadcast transmission site disables its transmitter during other time intervals allocated for transmission of localized information in other coverage areas (see block 1105 in FIG. 11). Communication device 500 thus receives localized information 410 during time interval in which this information is transmitted by the broadcast transmission site. The time interval in Burgan is allocated by the system and is not user selectable as recited in claim 23. The feature disclosed in claim 23 allows a user to receive different pertinent broadcast information at different suitable times, as disclosed in paragraph 1078 of the present application.

For at least the above reason, Applicant submits that claim 23 is not anticipated by Burgan. Claims 24 and 26 are dependent on claim 23 and are not anticipated by Burgan for at least the reason noted for base claim 23.

Independent claim 27 has been amended to recite the feature noted above for claim 23. Claim 28 is dependent on claim 27. Claims 27 and 28 are not anticipated by Burgan for at least the reason noted for claim 23.

Accordingly, the §102(b) rejection of claims 23, 24 and 26-28 should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 15, 2007

By: /Kenyon S. Jenckes/
Kenyon S. Jenckes, Reg. No. 41,873
Phone No. (858) 651-8149

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502